UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,058	07/14/2005	David Thomas Davies	P33087	1890
20462 7590 08/04/2008 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539			EXAMINER	
			SEAMAN, D MARGARET M	
	KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/522,058	DAVIES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. Margaret Seaman	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 M</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-26 and 28 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-26 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression in the contract of the con	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/522,058 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group 2 in the reply filed on 5/27/08 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,058 Page 3
Art Unit: 1625

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 15-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai US Patent 5798383..

Kawai teaches a markush of

1. A compound of formula (I):

saerein

Ar is selected from the group consisting of:

(b) furyl, benzo(b)furyl, thienyl, benzo(b)fthienyl, pyridyl and quinolyl, optionally substituted with one to three substituents selected from C_{1,4} alkyl, C_{1,4}

X is selected from C_1 – C_4 alkylene, C_2 – C_4 alkenylene, —(CHR¹)_m—Q¹—(CHR²)_a—, —O(CHR¹))—Q²— and —(CHR¹)—O—N— in which the N— moisty is attached to the cycloalkene ring; and in which Q¹ is O. S. SO, SO₂, NR², CH—N—O or CO, Q² is O. S. SO, SO₂ or NR³, and R¹, R² and R³ are each hydrogen or C_1 – C_4 alkyl, m and n are each an integer from 0 to 4 and j is an integer from 1 to 4;

p is an integer of 1 or 2;

Y is hydrogen, C_{1-4} alkyl, C_{1-4} haloalkyl, C_{1-4} alkoxy, C_{2-6} alkoxyalkyl, C_{1-4} alkylthin, hydroxy, halo, cyano of sminor

Z is hydrogen or $C_{4,4}$ alkyl; and

M is hydrogen, a pharmaceutically acceptable cation or a pharmaceutically acceptable metabolically cleavable group.

. This markush encompasses the

instantly claimed compounds.

The difference between the instant compounds and the compounds taught by Kawai is that Kawai does not make any compounds that directly read on the instant claims. However, the markush of Kawai encompasses the instant claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make a different compound falling within the scope of the markush taught by Kawai with the reasonable expectation of getting a compound having pharmaceutical activity. Rationale: The claim would have been obvious because "a person of ordinary skill has god reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 571-272-0694. The examiner can normally be reached on 730am-4pm, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

Page 4